

CONFERENCE EVALUATION
TRUSE – Transforming Research in Undergraduate STEM Education
JUNE 3-7, 2012

1. Please select one of the following choices to identify yourself.

- A. Faculty B. Graduate student C. Post-doc D. Other

2. Please identify your discipline.

- A. Chemistry B. Mathematics C. Physics
 D. Biology E. Earth Sciences F. Engineering
 G. Learning Sci. H. Computer Science I. Other

3. Did you attend the 2010 TRUSE conference? Yes or No

A. If yes, then please describe any activities fostered by your attendance such as research initiated, presentations given at other colleges or conferences, grants submitted, manuscripts developed, etc.

Part 1. Please indicate your level of satisfaction.

Statement	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
1. How satisfied were you with the registration process?					
2. How satisfied were you with the conference materials provided?					
3. Overall, how satisfied were you with the speakers/presenters?					
4. Overall, how satisfied were you with the conference facilities?					
5. Overall, how satisfied were you with the university housing?					
6. Overall, how satisfied were you with the food?					

Part 2: Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.

Statement	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. The content of the conference was informative.					
2. The invited talks were interesting.					
3. The conference introduced me to new resources and ideas for my research.					
4. I networked with new colleagues.					
5. The conference encouraged interdisciplinary collaborations.					
6. There was an appropriate amount of free time.					
7. The conference was well organized.					
8. The discussion periods encouraged the integration of research.					
9. The synthesis discussion and discussion periods helped me to see common themes and ideas across talks.					
10. I improved my knowledge of interdisciplinary areas and opportunities for research.					
11. The targeted sessions were valuable ways to encourage further discussion.					
12. I would recommend this conference to others.					
13. I would plan to attend this conference if were to be held again.					

Part 3: Please respond to the following questions in the areas below.

1. What aspect or portion of the conference was the most professionally relevant and productive to you?

2. What portion of the conference was the least professionally relevant and productive to you?

